



Published in final edited form as:

*Hum Brain Mapp.* 2014 February ; 35(2): 646–658. doi:10.1002/hbm.22208.

## Age-related effect of serotonin transporter genotype on amygdala and prefrontal cortex function in adolescence

Jillian Lee Wiggins<sup>1,\*</sup>, Jirair K. Bedoyan<sup>2</sup>, Melisa Carrasco<sup>3</sup>, Johnna R. Swartz<sup>1</sup>, Donna M. Martin<sup>2,3,4</sup>, and Christopher S. Monk<sup>1,3,5,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Genetics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

<sup>3</sup>Neuroscience Program, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

<sup>4</sup>Department of Human Genetics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

<sup>5</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

<sup>6</sup>Center for Human Growth and Development, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

### Abstract

The S and L<sub>G</sub> alleles of the serotonin transporter-linked polymorphic region (5-HTTLPR) lower serotonin transporter expression. These low expressing alleles are linked to increased risk for depression and brain activation patterns found in depression (increased amygdala activation and decreased amygdala-prefrontal cortex connectivity). Paradoxically, serotonin transporter blockade relieves depression symptoms. Rodent models suggest that decreased serotonin transporter in early life produces depression that emerges in adolescence, whereas decreased serotonin transporter that occurs later in development ameliorates depression. However, no brain imaging research has yet investigated the moderating influence of human development on the link between 5-HTTLPR and affect-related brain function. We investigated the age-related effect of 5-HTTLPR on amygdala activation and amygdala-prefrontal cortex connectivity using a well-replicated probe, an emotional faces task, in children and adolescents age 9–19 years. A significant genotype-by-age interaction predicted amygdala activation such that the low expressing genotype (S/S, S/L<sub>G</sub>) group showed a greater increase in amygdala activation with age compared to the higher expressing (L<sub>A</sub>/L<sub>A</sub>, S/L<sub>A</sub>) group. Additionally, compared to the higher expressing group, the low expressing genotype group exhibited decreased connectivity between the right amygdala and ventromedial prefrontal cortex with age. Findings indicate that low expressing genotypes may not result in the cortico-limbic profile associated with depression risk until later adolescence.

### Keywords

functional MRI; 5-HTTLPR; development; affect; emotion; connectivity; imaging genetics

---

\*Corresponding Author: Wiggins, J.L., 530 Church St., Ann Arbor, MI 48109, leejilli@umich.edu, Phone: +1-734-647-9754, Facsimile: +1-734-615-0573.

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Introduction

Serotonin transporter regulates the amount and duration of synaptic serotonin in structures involved in processing emotion, including the amygdala (Hariri and Holmes, 2006). The  $L_A$  allele of the serotonin transporter-linked polymorphic region variant (5-HTTLPR; Lesch et al., 1996) in the promoter region of the serotonin transporter gene (*SLC6A4*) results in increased transcriptional efficiency and serotonin transporter expression relative to the S and  $L_G$  alleles in *in vitro* studies (A to G SNP in L allele, rs25531; e.g., Hu et al. 2006). In *in vivo* studies on adults, 5-HTTLPR does not appear to affect serotonin transporter expression in brain tissue (Murthy et al., 2010; Parsey et al., 2006), which suggests that effects of genotype on brain function are likely due to neural changes earlier in development (Murthy et al., 2010).

In adults, 5-HTTLPR affects emotional behavior as well as cortico-limbic brain circuits underlying emotion. Adults with the low expressing alleles, S and  $L_G$ , and a history of stressful life events during childhood and adolescence are more likely to have depression (Caspi et al., 2003; Karg et al., 2011; but see Risch et al., 2009). The low expressing alleles are also linked to greater amygdala activation (Hariri et al., 2002) and weaker functional connectivity of the amygdala with ventromedial prefrontal cortex when presented with emotional face stimuli (Pezawas et al., 2005), both brain profiles that have been associated with depression (Murray et al., 2011). Whereas the S and  $L_G$  alleles that result in less serotonin transporter expression are linked to poorer affective outcomes in humans as well as animal models (Champoux et al., 2002; Munafò et al., 2008), paradoxically, serotonin transporter blockade with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors relieves affective symptoms (Berton and Nestler, 2006).

Examining the developmental effect of serotonin transporter may help to reconcile this paradox. After mice are treated with serotonin transporter blockers in early life, a procedure which mimics the increased synaptic serotonin experienced by individuals with the low expressing genotypes (Ansorge et al., 2004), depression-like behaviors begin to manifest in adolescence and persist through adulthood (Lisboa et al., 2007; Ansorge et al., 2008). This effect in rodent models mirrors the sharp increase in depression prevalence during adolescence in humans (Hankin et al., 1998). Conversely, treating mice with serotonin transporter blockers in adulthood does not increase depression-like behaviors (Ansorge et al., 2008). Taken together, these studies suggest that development moderates the effects of serotonin transporter availability on brain function. Decreased availability very early in development, as occurs in humans with the low expressing genotypes, increases risk for depression that emerges in adolescence, whereas decreased availability later in development, as occurs as a result of SSRI treatment, reduces depression symptoms. However, no brain imaging research has yet investigated the moderating influence of human development on the serotonin-brain function association.

We examined the age-related effects of 5-HTTLPR on amygdala activation and amygdala-prefrontal cortex connectivity using a well-replicated probe, emotional face presentation (e.g., Hariri et al., 2002), in a child and adolescent sample. We hypothesized that the low expressing genotype (S/S, S/ $L_G$ ) group relative to the higher expressing genotype ( $L_A/L_A$ ,

S/L<sub>A</sub>) group would exhibit both increased amygdala activation and decreased amygdala-prefrontal connectivity with age.

## Methods

### Participants

Data from 48 typically developing children and adolescents, aged 9 to 19 years, were included in this study. Of a total 65 participants, data from 17 participants were excluded from the analyses due to movement greater than 2.5 mm translation or 2.5 degrees rotation, an incomplete scan due to discomfort in the MRI, or poor coverage of the regions of interest during MRI acquisition. Two participants with amygdala and/or ventromedial prefrontal activation more than 2.75 standard deviations away from the mean were excluded as outliers.

Participants were recruited through flyers posted at local community organizations. The University of Michigan Institutional Review Board approved the procedures. Participants age 18 and older signed informed consent documents; minor participants gave assent and their parents gave written consent. The Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test (PPVT; Dunn and Dunn, 1997) and the Ravens Standard Progressive Matrices (Raven, 1960) were administered to measure cognitive functioning. Exclusion criteria consisted of orthodontic braces, other conditions contraindicated for MRI, and history of seizures or neurological disorders. Additionally, participants were screened for psychological disorders, including anxiety, depression, attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder, and autism, with parent report (Child Behavior Checklist; Achenbach and Edelbrock, 1981; Social Responsiveness Scale; Constantino et al., 2003; Social Communication Questionnaire; Rutter et al., 2003) and self-report (Child Depression Inventory; Kovacs, 1992; Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Children; March et al., 1997; Spence Children's Anxiety Scales; Spence, 1997; Obsessive Compulsive Inventory - Revised; Foa et al., 2010) measures. Prior studies utilized parts of this dataset (Weng et al., 2010; Weng et al., 2011; Wiggins et al., 2011; Wiggins et al., 2012).

### Genetic Analyses

The Oragene DNA kit (DNA Genotek; Kanata, Canada) was used to obtain a saliva sample from each participant. Using previously published procedures (Wiggins et al., 2012), S versus L genotype of 5-HTTLPR was determined via PCR and agarose genotyping; Sanger sequencing was utilized to determine the A to G SNP in the L allele (rs25531; Hu et al., 2006) and to confirm PCR genotyping. As previous studies have repeatedly implicated the low expressing alleles (S and L<sub>G</sub>) as being vulnerable to amygdala over-activation and other poor affective outcomes (Belsky et al., 2009), for subsequent statistical analyses, participants were divided into two groups: low expressing genotypes (S/S and S/L<sub>G</sub>) versus higher expressing genotypes (L<sub>A</sub>/L<sub>A</sub>, S/L<sub>A</sub>). (There were no participants in this cohort with the relatively rare genotypes L<sub>G</sub>/L<sub>G</sub> and L<sub>A</sub>/L<sub>G</sub>). Grouping the alleles by expression level is a common way to provide insight into functional brain differences (e.g., Praschak-Rieder et al., 2007). Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was tested with the alleles based on the insertion/deletion polymorphism. Genotype frequencies were not in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium

when all ethnic/racial groups were included ( $N = 48$ ,  $\chi^2 = 4.07$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $p = 0.044$ ); however, when including only Caucasians ( $N = 41$ ), genotype frequencies were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ( $\chi^2 = 1.90$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $p = 0.168$ ). Because of this, post-hoc analyses were performed to address potential effects of differing ancestry.

### fMRI Data Acquisition

MRI data were acquired using a 3T GE Signa scanner. Participants wore glasses with built-in mirrors (VisuaStim XGA, Resonance Technologies) to view the faces stimuli projected onto a screen behind them. Participants made responses during the task via a button box attached to their right hand and linked with an IFIS system (MRI Devices, Inc., Milwaukee, WI). High resolution spoiled gradient (SPGR) images were acquired, which consisted of 110 sagittal slices 1.4 mm thick (flip angle =  $15^\circ$ , FOV = 26 cm). Using a reverse spiral sequence (Glover and Law, 2001),  $T_2^*$ -weighted blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) images were acquired during the emotional faces task. The BOLD images were comprised of 40 adjacent 3 mm axial slices acquired parallel to the intercommissural line (TR = 2000 ms, TE = 30 ms, flip angle =  $90^\circ$ , FOV = 22 cm, matrix =  $64 \times 64$ ).

### Emotional Faces Task

We utilized a faces task known to reliably activate the amygdala (Weng et al., 2011). During image acquisition, participants were instructed to identify the gender of emotional faces from NimStim (Tottenham et al., 2009). Thirty actors of various races and genders modeled each of the emotions (happy, sad, fearful, and neutral), and no picture (actor representing a particular emotion) was repeated. There were 30 trials of each emotion, for a total of 120 trials presented in a different randomized order for each participant across two 6-minute runs.

Each trial consisted of a fixation cross presented for 500 ms, followed by a face for 250 ms. A blank screen ensued for 1500 ms. Any time during the face presentation or the subsequent blank screen, participants used a button press with their right hand to indicate whether the face was male or female. The combination of a short presentation time for the face (250 ms) with a task to do immediately afterward (i.e., identify gender) minimized group differences in attention to the faces. Inter-trial intervals were jittered between 0 ms and 6000 ms at intervals of 2000 ms. The blank screen displayed between trials served as baseline. E-prime (Psychological Software Tools, Pittsburgh, PA) was used for stimulus presentations and recorded responses.

Participants were instructed to identify the gender of the face as quickly and accurately as possible. One participant's behavioral responses were lost due to technical failure. Prior to the MRI scan, participants practiced the task with different faces in a mock scanner to ensure they were comfortable with the task and testing conditions.

### fMRI Data Analysis

**Data Preprocessing**—The fMRI data were pre-processed with the standard procedure from the University of Michigan Functional MRI Center. This process includes removing outliers (“white pixel” artifacts) from the raw k-space data, reconstructing the k-space data

to image space, applying a field map correction to reduce artifacts from susceptibility regions, and correcting for both slice timing and head motion. Details on these steps are available in multiple papers utilizing this pre-processing stream (e.g., Monk et al., 2010; Weng et al., 2011). In addition to realigning functional images to the 10<sup>th</sup> image, we further addressed potential effects of head motion by examining whether genotype groups differed in average head motion and whether head motion correlated with age. As previous studies have done (Rubia et al., 1999; Bunge et al., 2002), an index score was created by taking the grand mean of head movement measured in each of 6 rigid body movement modes (3 translations, 3 rotations). We utilized a *t* test to compare this head motion score between genotype groups and a Pearson's correlation to examine the relationship between head motion and age.

Additional pre-processing of the data was accomplished in-house using the SPM5 Matlab toolbox (Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK; <http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk>). High-resolution T1 anatomical images were co-registered to the functional images. The functional images were subsequently smoothed using an isotropic 8 mm full width at half maximum (FWHM) Gaussian kernel. Images were normalized to the Montreal Neurological Image (MNI) space by estimating the transformation matrix for the SPGR image to SPM's template MNI image, then applying that transformation to the functional images.

**Contrast Images**—Individual-level analyses were performed in SPM5. For each participant, face conditions were modeled with SPM5's canonical hemodynamic response function (HRF) as well as the temporal derivative of the HRF (Friston et al., 1997). Trials where participants incorrectly identified the gender of the face were excluded from analyses. Images were generated for each participant for the contrast of all faces versus baseline by estimating the contrast value at every voxel. These images, which convey how much activation differed between the two conditions (seeing faces versus a blank baseline screen) at every voxel in the brain for that individual, were then used in group-level analyses.

**Connectivity Images**—A psychophysiological interaction (PPI) analysis was performed to generate functional connectivity images (Friston et al., 1997; Gitelman et al., 2003). The seed and contrast for the psychophysiological interaction were set at the peak amygdala activation difference from the first hypothesis (average of 4 voxels surrounding  $xyz = 22, -8, -16$ ; all faces versus baseline), following previous work (Monk et al., 2010).

**Group-Level Analyses**—Group-level analyses were performed with SPM8, unless otherwise indicated. As a preliminary step, we examined whether there were overall group differences between the low expressing genotype ( $S/S, S/L_G$ ) group relative to the higher expressing ( $L_A/L_A, S/L_A$ ) genotype group, regardless of age. A voxel-wise independent samples *t* test was first performed with the all faces versus baseline contrast images. A small volume correction was then performed with the right amygdala, as defined by the Wake Forest Pickatlas (Maldjian et al., 2002). The right amygdala was chosen as the mask because genetic effects on brain function have previously been found in the right amygdala (Hariri et al., 2002; Hariri et al., 2005). Significance thresholds were corrected for multiple

comparisons within the right amygdala using family wise error (FWE) correction (Worsley et al., 1996).

To address our first hypothesis, increased amygdala activation with age in the low expressing genotype group relative to the higher expressing group, we created a genotype-by-age interaction model for all the faces versus baseline contrast images, utilizing voxel-wise multiple regression. For this model, three regressors were entered – genotype, age, and the interaction of genotype-by-age – predicting activation to all faces versus baseline. To test whether there was an interaction in the right amygdala, the locus of genetic effects in prior research (Hariri et al., 2002; Hariri et al., 2005), a small volume correction with the right amygdala was performed with the image mapping the betas of the interaction term. This small volume correction restricted the search for voxels with a significant interaction beta to the right amygdala, and also applied a family-wise error correction based on the number of voxels (158) within the right amygdala.

Post-hoc analyses were also performed to further characterize the interaction by testing whether the simple slopes for the low and higher expressing groups differed from zero. Activation values from a 4 mm sphere around the peak voxel of the interaction from the first hypothesis ( $xyz = 22, -8, -16$ ) were extracted and averaged. These data were then exported to SPSS, where two regressions were run – one for individuals with low expressing genotypes, and one for higher expressing genotypes – in which age predicted extracted activation values. The betas for age in both regressions were each tested against zero to examine whether individuals with the low and higher expressing genotypes, separately, increased or decreased in amygdala activation with age.

As a preliminary step for the connectivity analyses, just as for the amygdala activation, an independent samples *t* test was performed to examine group differences in amygdala-prefrontal connectivity. The images utilized in this model were generated for each individual by the psychophysiological interaction analysis with the contrast of all faces versus baseline. The laterality of the connectivity effects in the literature is not clear, as previous work used a bilateral amygdala seed (Pezawas et al., 2005); because of this, the present connectivity analyses were exploratory in terms of laterality and utilized the left and right ventromedial prefrontal cortex. The ventromedial prefrontal cortical masks in the small volume corrections were comprised of the intersection of the medial orbitofrontal cortex, medial frontal gyrus, and cingulate region for the left and right hemispheres as defined by the Wake Forest Pickatlas (Maldjian et al., 2002). These masks represent the ventromedial prefrontal cortical region that, in adults, has previously demonstrated altered connectivity with amygdala, depending on 5-HTTLPR genotype (Pezawas et al., 2005). (See Supporting Information Figure S1 for a visual representation of the left and right ventromedial prefrontal cortical masks.)

To address our second hypothesis, decreased amygdala-prefrontal connectivity with age in the low expressing group relative to the higher expressing group, we again created a genotype-by-age interaction model, but for the connectivity images. The left and right ventromedial prefrontal cortex masks were again used for small volume corrections.



Post-hoc analyses were again performed to better understand the interaction, as described for the first hypothesis, but with connectivity values extracted from the peak voxel of the interaction for the second hypothesis ( $xyz = -8, 40, -14$ ). Simple slopes for each genotype group were tested against zero to determine whether the low expressing group increased or decreased in connectivity with age, and whether the same occurred for the higher expressing group.

## Results

To ensure that genotype was not acting as a proxy for psychopathology, we screened for psychopathology using both parent report and self-report measures (see Methods section for a full list of measures). All participants scored below the clinical cutoff on each measure. The low and higher expressing genotype groups also did not differ on any of the symptom measure or cognitive functioning scores (Table 1). Furthermore, low and higher expressing genotype groups did not differ in average head motion ( $t_{46} = 0.258, p = 0.797$ ). Age and head motion were not correlated ( $r = 0.041, p = 0.780$ ).

Accuracy for identifying the gender of the faces stimuli was high (mean = 97.3%, SD = 2.46%), and the genotype groups did not differ on accuracy (Table 1). As age was correlated with accuracy ( $r = .324, p = .025$ ), trials in which participants incorrectly identified gender of the face were removed from subsequent fMRI analyses. Relative to the higher expressing group, reaction time during the gender identification task for the low expressing group was significantly shorter (Table 1). Also, age was significantly correlated with reaction time ( $r = -.416, p = 0.004$ ). However, the interaction of genotype by age did not significantly predict reaction time ( $\beta = .354, t_{43} = 1.144, p = 0.259$ ). Additional analyses were performed to address potential reaction time effects.

Across all participants, the amygdala was significantly activated in the contrast of all faces versus baseline ( $xyz = 24, -2, -14, t_{47} = 10.55, p = 0.0000000000036$ , corrected for multiple comparisons within the right amygdala). Similarly, the ventromedial prefrontal cortex was significantly connected with the right amygdala seed across all participants ( $xyz = -4, 26, -14, t_{47} = 3.70, p = 0.007$ , corrected for multiple comparisons within the left ventromedial prefrontal cortex;  $xyz = 2, 26, -12, t_{47} = 3.22, p = 0.021$ , corrected for multiple comparisons within the right ventromedial prefrontal cortex).

As a preliminary step, we first examined whether the low expressing group differed from the higher expressing group in amygdala activation, regardless of age. The group difference was not significant ( $xyz = 28, -8, -16, t_{46} = 2.50, p = 0.082$ , corrected for multiple comparisons within the right amygdala). However, the pattern was consistent with previous studies in adults and children (e.g., Hariri et al., 2002; Lau et al., 2009), greater amygdala response to the faces compared to baseline in the low expressing compared to the higher expressing genotype group.

Consistent with the first hypothesis, there was a significant genotype-by-age interaction predicting amygdala activation ( $xyz = 22, -8, -16$ , cluster size = 88 voxels,  $t_{44} = 3.38, p = 0.012$ , corrected for multiple comparisons within the right amygdala; Figure 1). Specifically,

the low expressing genotype group showed a greater increase in amygdala activation with age compared to the higher expressing group. Post-hoc analyses to further characterize the interaction indicated that whereas the decrease in amygdala activation with age for the higher expressing group was not significant (simple slope =  $-0.299$ ,  $t_{29} = 1.69$ ,  $p = 0.102$ ), the low expressing group showed a significant increase in amygdala activation with age (simple slope =  $0.637$ ,  $t_{15} = 3.202$ ,  $p = .006$ ).

As a preliminary step for the connectivity data, we examined genotype group differences regardless of age in amygdala-prefrontal connectivity calculated from the psychophysiological interaction with all faces versus baseline. The genotype groups did not differ significantly in connectivity between the amygdala and ventromedial prefrontal cortex ( $xyz = -2, 32, -12$ ,  $t_{46} = 1.93$ ,  $p = 0.261$ , corrected for multiple comparisons within the left ventromedial prefrontal cortex;  $xyz = 2, 32, -12$ ,  $t_{46} = 2.12$ ,  $p = 0.197$ , corrected for multiple comparisons within the right ventromedial prefrontal cortex).

Consistent with our second hypothesis, we found that the effect of genotype on amygdala-prefrontal connectivity depended on age. A genotype-by-age interaction was detected in the left ventromedial prefrontal cortex ( $xyz = -8, 40, -14$ , cluster size = 159 voxels,  $t_{44} = 3.12$ ,  $p = 0.030$ , corrected for multiple comparisons within the left ventromedial prefrontal cortex; Figure 2). Specifically, compared to the higher expressing group, the low expressing genotype group showed steeper decreases with increasing age in connectivity values between the right amygdala and left ventromedial prefrontal cortex. Post-hoc analyses indicated that whereas there was little change in connectivity with age in the higher expressing genotype group (simple slope =  $.172$ ,  $t_{29} = 0.943$ ,  $p = .353$ ), individuals with the low expressing genotype evidenced decreases in connectivity values with age (simple slope =  $-.573$ ,  $t_{15} = 2.71$ ,  $p = 0.016$ ). The genotype-by-age interaction predicting right amygdala to right ventromedial prefrontal cortex connectivity was a trend ( $xyz = 6, 32, -12$ , cluster size = 139 voxels,  $t_{44} = 2.85$ ,  $p = 0.051$ , corrected for multiple comparisons within the right ventromedial prefrontal cortex).

### Additional Analyses

Other factors may have influenced our findings, such as population stratification, gender differences, allele grouping. As such, we conducted additional analyses to assess the potential impact of these factors. Because of the reduced power to detect effects (due to reduced degrees of freedom), we used a threshold of  $p < 0.05$  without family-wise error correction.

As genotype frequencies vary by ancestry (e.g., higher S allele frequencies in Asian samples, Ha et al., 2005; Kato et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2007) and can contribute to spurious associations (Pritchard and Rosenberg, 1999), we conducted additional analyses to determine whether our effects were primarily driven by population stratification. We excluded 7 individuals who were non-Caucasian and repeated the group-level analyses addressing our two hypotheses.

Supporting our first hypothesis, in Caucasian participants only, a genotype-by-age interaction was detected in the right amygdala such that youth with low expressing



genotypes demonstrated greater increases in amygdala activation with age compared to youth with higher expressing genotypes ( $xyz = 18, -8, -16, t_{37} = 2.93, p = 0.003$ ).

Supporting our second hypothesis, Caucasian participants with the low expressing genotype showed decreased connectivity values with age compared to Caucasian participants with the higher expressing genotypes ( $xyz = -8, 40, -14, t_{37} = 2.96, p = 0.003$ ). Additionally, the genotype-by-age interaction predicting amygdala to right ventromedial prefrontal cortex connectivity was significant at this more lenient threshold ( $xyz = 6, 32, -12, t_{37} = 2.84, p = 0.004$ ). To summarize, our findings including only Caucasian participants mirrored the original findings with participants of all ancestries.

Although genotype groups did not significantly differ with regard to gender (Table 1), our sample was predominantly male. As such, we conducted additional analyses excluding female participants to examine whether gender primarily drove our findings. Consistent with the first hypothesis, the genotype-by-age interaction was significant in the right amygdala ( $xyz = 20, -6, -16, t_{34} = 2.84, p = 0.0038$ ), indicating that the low expressing genotype was associated with greater increases in amygdala activation with age compared to the higher expressing genotype. Similarly, the second hypothesis was confirmed with male participants only; in both the left and right ventromedial prefrontal cortex, there were significant genotype-by-age interactions (left:  $xyz = -8, 38, -14, t_{34} = 3.32, p = 0.0011$ ; right:  $xyz = 6, 32, -12, t_{34} = 3.92, p = 0.00020$ ). Overall, excluding females from the analyses did not alter the pattern of findings.

Although the interaction of genotype by age did not significantly predict reaction time to identify gender in the faces task, older participants tended to respond more quickly than younger participants, and the low expressing genotype group had shorter reaction times than the higher expressing genotype group (see beginning of Results). We conducted additional analyses covarying reaction time to assess whether our results were driven by differences in latency to identify the gender of the face. Mean reaction time was imputed for one participant whose reaction times were lost due to computer failure. In line with the first hypothesis, the genotype-by-age interaction significantly predicted activation in the right amygdala when variance associated with reaction time was removed ( $xyz = 22, -8, -16, t_{43} = 3.25, p = .001$ ). In addition, consistent with the second hypothesis, the genotype-by-age interaction significantly predicted connectivity in the ventromedial prefrontal cortex when covarying reaction time (left:  $xyz = -8, 40, -14, t_{43} = 3.03, p = .002$ ; right:  $xyz = 6, 32, -12, t_{43} = 2.52, p = .008$ ). Thus, both hypotheses were still confirmed when variance associated with reaction time to identify the gender of the face was removed.

We also repeated the analyses with an alternative genotype grouping, S/S versus heterozygotes (S/L<sub>A</sub> and S/L<sub>G</sub>) versus L<sub>A</sub>/L<sub>A</sub> (as in Wiggins et al., 2012), to investigate whether the patterns still persisted when participants were split into these three groups. Following statistical procedures from Wiggins et al (2012), the three levels of genotype were dummy coded. The dummy-coded genotype variables and age were entered into the model, as well as the two dummy-coded genotype-by-age interaction variables. An *F* test of the change in model fit after including the two dummy-coded interaction variables indicated

the overall interaction between genotype and age (Allison, 1977; Irwin and McClellan, 2001).

With three levels of genotype (S/S; heterozygotes S/L<sub>A</sub> and S/L<sub>G</sub>; and L<sub>A</sub>/L<sub>A</sub>), the same pattern of findings was still apparent. Confirming the first hypothesis, individuals with S/S had the greatest increases in amygdala activation with age compared to the L<sub>A</sub>/L<sub>A</sub> and heterozygous groups ( $xyz = 22, -8, -16, F_{2,42} = 6.28, p = 0.004$ ). Similarly, confirming the second hypothesis, compared to both the L<sub>A</sub>/L<sub>A</sub> and heterozygous groups, individuals with the S/S genotype had the greatest decreases in amygdala to left and right ventromedial prefrontal cortex connectivity with age ( $xyz = -8, 40, -14, F_{2,42} = 4.10, p = 0.023$ ;  $xyz = 6, 32, -12, F_{2,42} = 3.78, p = 0.031$ ). To summarize, regardless of whether participants were divided into three or two genotype groups, the main hypotheses were confirmed.

## Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the effect of 5-HTTLPR on cortico-limbic function across age in children and adolescents. We found that children and adolescents with the low expressing (S/S, S/L<sub>G</sub>) genotypes demonstrated a greater increase in amygdala activation with age compared to those with the higher expressing (L<sub>A</sub>/L<sub>A</sub>, S/L<sub>A</sub>) genotypes when viewing faces versus baseline. We further investigated this genetic effect on the development of amygdala activation by examining amygdala connectivity with the ventromedial prefrontal cortex using a psychophysiological interaction analysis comparing the faces condition to baseline. We found that children and adolescents with the low expressing genotypes showed sharper decreases in connectivity values with age between the amygdala and ventromedial prefrontal cortex compared to those with the higher expressing genotypes. The overall developmental pattern we observed demonstrated that the genetic effects on brain function that have been documented in adults (Hariri et al., 2002; Pezawas et al., 2005) may not occur until later in adolescence.

The neurophysiological profile of depression includes amygdala over-activation (e.g., Drevets et al., 1992; Sheline et al., 2001; Surguladze et al., 2005; Monk et al., 2008) and amygdala-prefrontal under-connectivity (e.g., Almeida et al., 2009; Carballo et al., 2011). Adults with the low expressing 5-HTTLPR genotypes evidence these brain activation patterns that are associated with depression (Champoux et al., 2002; Munafo et al., 2008). Our findings add a developmental perspective to the literature on 5-HTTLPR and depression; that individuals with the low expressing genotypes may not display this neurophysiological profile associated with depression until adolescence. The age-related effect documented in the present study helps to explain why the lower expressing genotypes, which result in reduced serotonin transporter, are associated with poorer affective phenotypes (Munafo et al., 2008; Karg et al., 2011), but pharmacologic serotonin transporter blockade, which also results in reduced serotonin transporter, reduces affective symptoms (Berton and Nestler, 2006). Our findings support the view that the effects of serotonin transporter on affect depend on when in development serotonin transporter levels are altered. If serotonin transporter is decreased very early in development (e.g., perinatally), due to having a low-expressing 5-HTTLPR genotype, individuals exhibit a poorer affective phenotype starting in adolescence. However, if serotonin transporter is decreased later in

development, due to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, this alleviates affective symptoms. More work is necessary to directly evaluate this view.

Only three previous studies examined 5-HTTLPR and cortico-limbic function in adolescent humans (Lau et al., 2009; Thomason et al., 2010; Battaglia et al., 2011), two of which documented heightened amygdala activation in adolescent S allele carriers (Lau et al., 2009; Battaglia et al., 2011), consistent with adult studies. However, unlike the present work, these studies did not examine age-related changes across childhood and adolescence nor did they examine functional connectivity between the prefrontal cortex and the amygdala. The present findings help to bridge the gap between youth and adult 5-HTTLPR studies by examining incrementally the age-related changes in brain function.

A previous study from our laboratory examined the effects of 5-HTTLPR across age, but on a different set of brain structures, the default network, which has also been linked to psychopathology (Wiggins et al., 2012). The findings from the present study are consistent with the previous study, which found that individuals with the low expressing genotype of 5-HTTLPR failed to develop default network connectivity as strong as those with the higher expressing genotypes through adolescence (Wiggins et al., 2012). Both studies suggest that low expressing genotypes do not result in the brain phenotype associated with psychopathology until later adolescence.

There are two main possibilities to explain the increasing amygdala activation and decreasing ventromedial prefrontal cortex connectivity across childhood and adolescence in individuals with the low expressing genotypes. First, in addition to its function as a neurotransmitter, serotonin acts perinatally as a neurotrophic growth factor, affecting neuron differentiation and synaptogenesis (Lauder and Krebs, 1978; Lauder, 1990). Exposure to increased serotonin early in development in individuals with the low expressing genotypes may affect brain growth trajectories. Such perinatal neural differences may compound over time and become apparent in cortico-limbic function during adolescence, a time of significant cortico-limbic maturational changes (Somerville et al., 2010). Moreover, serotonin transporter binding potential does not differ between genotype groups in adults (Murthy et al., 2010; Parsey et al., 2006), which is consistent with the view that 5-HTTLPR alters brain phenotypes via neurotrophic means in development and not via direct influence on binding potential. The finding that SSRIs effectively treat depression in adolescents (Bujoreanu et al., 2011) is also in line with the view that the age-related changes observed in the present study are due to compounding differences in growth trajectories from early exposure to altered levels of serotonin as a growth factor. It is possible that serotonin's role differs across development such that SSRIs administered to adolescents with depression reduce symptoms because of their influence on serotonin as a neurotransmitter. On the other hand, perinatal alterations to serotonin levels due genotype produce depression emerging in adolescence because of serotonin's neurotrophic properties.

Second, genetic vulnerabilities may manifest in functional brain differences during adolescence because of a stress-by-genotype interaction (Caspi and Moffitt, 2006; Belsky et al., 2009; Casey et al., 2010). Although "storm and stress" does not occur for every adolescent, adolescence is often experienced as a stressful transition period (Spear, 2000),

with the introduction of new environmental pressures (Eccles et al., 1993). Thus, in a stress-by-genotype interaction framework, the onset of stress in adolescence may lead to amygdala hyper-reactivity and altered connectivity in individuals with the low expressing genotypes compared to higher expressing genotypes, as we found in this study. Future research is necessary to better understand the mechanisms underlying the developmental aspect of 5-HTTLPR.

To our knowledge, our study is also the first to examine genetic influences on functional connectivity of the amygdala with the prefrontal cortex in children and adolescents. Our findings of both stronger amygdala activation and decreased amygdala-prefrontal connectivity values with age in the low expressing genotype group suggest that the prefrontal cortex may be less able to suppress amygdala activation during adolescence in those with the low expressing genotypes. In adults, the prefrontal cortex modulates amygdala activity via robust structural projections to the amygdala (Ghashghaei et al., 2007, Quirk et al., 2003). During adolescent development, however, the prefrontal cortex undergoes a protracted maturational time course that lags behind amygdala maturation, and thus the prefrontal cortex exerts less regulatory control over the amygdala in adolescents compared to adults (see reviews: Steinberg, 2005; Somerville et al., 2010). This developmental discrepancy, in which the prefrontal cortex is immature relative to the amygdala during adolescence, may be more pronounced in individuals with the low expressing genotypes, since we found that they exhibited decreased amygdala-prefrontal connectivity values in adolescence. Moreover, the amygdala and prefrontal cortex developmental discrepancy may be mediated, in part, by alterations in the overall serotonin system. Because serotonin receptor density is linked to both weaker amygdala-prefrontal connectivity and greater amygdala reactivity (Fisher et al., 2009), it suggests that differences in the serotonin system (on which 5-HTTLPR has influence) can affect the degree to which the amygdala and prefrontal cortex work and mature in concert with each other. Of note, however, one diffusion tensor imaging study did not find a 5-HTTLPR genotype-by-age effect on fractional anisotropy of the uncinate fasciculus, the white matter pathway that connects the amygdala and prefrontal cortex (Pacheco et al., 2009). It is certainly possible that there are differences in functional connectivity but not white matter integrity. Alternatively, the difference in structural and functional findings may be because Pacheco et al.'s (2009) sample consisted of an older cohort (ages 13–28 years) compared to our sample (ages 9–19 years). Future research could help to resolve this discrepancy by examining 5-HTTLPR's effect on brain development across a larger age range.

We did not find significant differences between the genotype groups on multiple symptom and behavioral measures (with the exception of a trend toward greater externalizing behavior in the low expressing genotype group). Differences between the genotype groups on symptoms were not expected, as participants were screened for psychopathology. This screening step was important to ensure that genotype was not acting as a proxy for psychopathology in the analyses, so alterations in brain activation patterns were not simply due to behavioral or symptom differences. The fact that we detected effects of genotype on the brain that are not evident in symptomatology or behavior has two implications. First, brain differences may be a more sensitive measure of genotype effects than behavior. The brain may be more proximally and directly affected by genetic activity (Meyer-Lindenberg,

2009), leading to potentially greater effect sizes. This would allow researchers the advantage of examining brain differences between genotype groups in smaller samples than behavioral studies. Alternatively, we may not be seeing symptom and behavioral differences in our sample of developing children and adolescents because brain differences precede measurable differences at the macro level of behavior in development. A longitudinal study will be necessary to examine whether genetically-influenced brain differences give rise to behavioral differences later in life. For either possibility, understanding mechanisms at the levels of the gene, brain, and behavior across development will be important for future prevention and intervention strategies.

The primary purpose of our faces task was to reliably elicit amygdala activity in participants, and the response required from participants (identify the gender of the face) was to ensure that participants attended to the faces stimuli. The task robustly activated the amygdala across all participants, but reaction time was shorter in individuals with the low expressing genotypes than the higher expressing genotypes. Although our findings with amygdala function are not driven by reaction time (see Additional Analyses), it is possible that the shorter latency to identify gender represents increased vigilance to the emotional faces in the low expressing genotypes. Future research is necessary to evaluate this possibility.

This study has several limitations. First, we included all ethnic groups in our sample, which can contribute to spurious associations due to population structure in genetic studies. To determine whether results were due to the presence of non-Caucasians in the analyses, we removed non-Caucasian participants and repeated the analyses. Albeit at a more lenient threshold (no correction for multiple comparisons) because of the reduced power, the result patterns were still significant with non-Caucasians excluded from the analyses. This indicates that results were not primarily driven by heterogeneity in ancestries. Nevertheless, the lack of understanding of genetic effects in ethnic groups other than Caucasians is a pervasive problem in the field that must be addressed with future work.

Second, with 17 youth in the low expressing and 31 in the higher expressing genotype groups (total  $N = 48$  participants), our sample size is modest. This sample size is comparable to similar imaging genetics studies (e.g., 15 low and 15 high expressing adults, 31 lower and 20 high expressing children, 13 lower and 6 high expressing children in Roiser et al., 2009; Thomason et al., 2010; Battaglia et al., 2011, respectively). However, our results will need to be replicated with a larger sample.

Third, we had relatively fewer participants with low expressing genotypes that were young compared to higher expressing genotypes. In visually inspecting the age-by-genotype results (see Figure 1), it appears possible that two low expressing individuals below the age of 14 are driving our genotype-by-age interaction results. To address this, we removed these individuals from the dataset and repeated the analyses in SPSS with values from 4 mm spheres around the peak voxels from the original findings. Excluding these two individuals, the genotype-by-age interaction was still significant in the same loci for both amygdala activation ( $t_{42} = 2.533, p = 0.015$ ) as well as amygdala-ventromedial prefrontal cortical connectivity ( $t_{42} = 2.571, p = 0.014$ ). This suggests that our findings were not wholly driven by a couple individuals.



Although replication of our findings is necessary, the present study lays the foundation for future studies to better understand the developmental effects of 5-HTTLPR. First, the increase in reproductive hormones during puberty may differentially affect emotion-related brain activation in individuals with the low expressing genotypes versus the higher expressing genotypes (Forbes and Dahl, 2010). Researchers may wish to tease apart the effects of age and pubertal status. Forbes and colleagues (2010) model one approach to accomplish this, assessing puberty with multiple measures, including Tanner stage and testosterone level. Second, future investigations may also examine more directly the possibility of a stress-by-genotype interaction underlying the developmental differences we found between the genotype groups. Recruiting a high-risk sample with pronounced stressful life events as well as assessments of the adolescents' home environment to obtain a more valid measure of stress would be important in addressing this question (Belsky and Beaver, 2010). To conclude, the findings from our study facilitate subsequent studies to better understand the developmental aspect of 5-HTTLPR, a key polymorphism in affective disorders.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## Acknowledgments

### Funding

This work was supported by an Autism Speaks Pre-doctoral Fellowship (4773) and a Michigan Institute for Clinical and Health Research (MICHR) Pre-doctoral Fellowship (UL1RR024986) to J.L.W.; an Autism Speaks grant (2573) to C.S.M.; National Institutes of Health grants to D.M.M. (R01 NS54784, R01 DC009410) and J.K.B. (K12 HD028820); a MICHR pilot award to D.M.M. (U024600); and an Elizabeth E. Kennedy (Children's Research) Fund Award from the Department of Pediatrics, University of Michigan, to J.K.B.

We thank Dr. Douglas Noll for methodological advice and the staff of the University of Michigan Functional MRI Center and DNA Sequencing Core for technical support. We thank Jeffrey M. Rosen for programming assistance, as well as Nicole Cook and Samantha Ashinoff for participant recruitment and data collection assistance. We appreciate Dr. Daniel Pine's helpful comments on this manuscript. We are grateful to the families who participated.

## REFERENCES

- Achenbach TM, Edelbrock CS. Behavioral problems and competencies reported by parents of normal and disturbed children aged four through sixteen. *Monogr Soc Res Child Dev.* 1981; 46:1–82. [PubMed: 7242540]
- Allison P. Testing for interaction in multiple regression. *The American Journal of Sociology.* 1977; 83:144–153.
- Almeida JR, Versace A, Mechelli A, Hassel S, Quevedo K, Kupfer DJ, et al. Abnormal amygdala-prefrontal effective connectivity to happy faces differentiates bipolar from major depression. *Biological psychiatry.* 2009; 66(5):451–459. [PubMed: 19450794]
- Ansorge MS, Morelli E, Gingrich JA. Inhibition of serotonin but not norepinephrine transport during development produces delayed, persistent perturbations of emotional behaviors in mice. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience.* 2008; 28:199–207. [PubMed: 18171937]
- Ansorge MS, Zhou M, Lira A, Hen R, Gingrich JA. Early-life blockade of the 5-HT transporter alters emotional behavior in adult mice. *Science.* 2004; 306:879–881. [PubMed: 15514160]




- Battaglia M, Zanoni A, Taddei M, Giorda R, Bertoletti E, Lampis V, Scaini S, Cappa S, Tettamanti M. Cerebral responses to emotional expressions and the development of social anxiety disorder: a preliminary longitudinal study. *Depression and anxiety*. 2011
- Belsky J, Beaver KM. Cumulative-genetic plasticity, parenting and adolescent self-regulation. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2010
- Belsky J, Jonassaint C, Pluess M, Stanton M, Brummett B, Williams R. Vulnerability genes or plasticity genes? *Mol Psychiatry*. 2009; 14:746–754. [PubMed: 19455150]
- Berton O, Nestler EJ. New approaches to antidepressant drug discovery: beyond monoamines. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2006; 7:137–151. [PubMed: 16429123]
- Bujoreanu S, Benhayon D, Szigethy E. Treatment of depression in children and adolescents. *Pediatric annals*. 2011; 40(11):548–555. [PubMed: 22066506]
- Bunge SA, Dudukovic NM, Thomason ME, Vaidya CJ, Gabrieli JD. Immature frontal lobe contributions to cognitive control in children: evidence from fMRI. *Neuron*. 2002; 33:301–311. [PubMed: 11804576]
- Carballedo A, Scheuerecker J, Meisenzahl E, Schoepf V, Bokde A, Moller HJ, Doyle M, Wiesmann M, Frodl T. Functional connectivity of emotional processing in depression. *J Affect Disord*. 2011; 134:272–279. [PubMed: 21757239]
- Casey BJ, Jones RM, Levita L, Libby V, Pattwell SS, Ruberry EJ, et al. The storm and stress of adolescence: insights from human imaging and mouse genetics. *Developmental psychobiology*. 2010; 52(3):225–235. [PubMed: 20222060]
- Caspi A, Moffitt TE. Gene-environment interactions in psychiatry: joining forces with neuroscience. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2006; 7:583–590. [PubMed: 16791147]
- Caspi A, Sugden K, Moffitt TE, Taylor A, Craig IW, Harrington H, McClay J, Mill J, Martin J, Braithwaite A, Poulton R. Influence of life stress on depression: moderation by a polymorphism in the 5-HTT gene. *Science*. 2003; 301:386–389. [PubMed: 12869766]
- Champoux M, Bennett A, Shannon C, Higley JD, Lesch KP, Suomi SJ. Serotonin transporter gene polymorphism, differential early rearing, and behavior in rhesus monkey neonates. *Molecular psychiatry*. 2002; 7:1058–1063. [PubMed: 12476320]
- Constantino JN, Davis SA, Todd RD, Schindler MK, Gross MM, Brophy SL, Metzger LM, Shoushtari CS, Splinter R, Reich W. Validation of a brief quantitative measure of autistic traits: comparison of the social responsiveness scale with the autism diagnostic interview-revised. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2003; 33:427–433. [PubMed: 12959421]
- Donnelly CL, Wagner KD, Rynn M, Ambrosini P, Landau P, Yang R, Wohlberg CJ. Sertraline in children and adolescents with major depressive disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2006; 45:1162–1170. [PubMed: 17003661]
- Drevets WC, Videen TO, Price JL, Preskorn SH, Carmichael ST, Raichle ME. A functional anatomical study of unipolar depression. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 1992; 12:3628–3641. [PubMed: 1527602]
- Dunn, LM.; Dunn, LM. Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test. 3rd Edition. Circle Pines, MN: American Guidance Services; 1997.
- Eccles JS, Midgley C, Wigfield A, Buchanan CM, Reuman D, Flanagan C, Iver DM. Development during adolescence. The impact of stage-environment fit on young adolescents' experiences in schools and in families. *Am Psychol*. 1993; 48:90–101. [PubMed: 8442578]
- Fisher PM, Meltzer CC, Price JC, Coleman RL, Ziolkowski SK, Becker C, Moses-Kolko EL, Berga SL, Hariri AR. Medial prefrontal cortex 5-HT(2A) density is correlated with amygdala reactivity, response habituation, and functional coupling. *Cerebral cortex*. 2009; 19:2499–2507. [PubMed: 19321655]
- Fleming JE, Offord DR. Epidemiology of childhood depressive disorders: a critical review. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1990; 29:571–580. [PubMed: 2201675]
- Foa EB, Coles M, Huppert JD, Pasupuleti RV, Franklin ME, March J. Development and validation of a child version of the obsessive compulsive inventory. *Behav Ther*. 2010; 41:121–132. [PubMed: 20171333]
- Forbes EE, Dahl RE. Pubertal development and behavior: hormonal activation of social and motivational tendencies. *Brain and cognition*. 2010; 72:66–72. [PubMed: 19942334]

- Forbes EE, Ryan ND, Phillips ML, Manuck SB, Worthman CM, Moyles DL, Tarr JA, Sciarrillo SR, Dahl RE. Healthy adolescents' neural response to reward: associations with puberty, positive affect, and depressive symptoms. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2010; 49:162–172. e161–e165. [PubMed: 20215938]
- Friston KJ, Buechel C, Fink GR, Morris J, Rolls E, Dolan RJ. Psychophysiological and modulatory interactions in neuroimaging. *Neuroimage*. 1997; 6:218–229. [PubMed: 9344826]
- Ghashghaei HT, Hilgetag CC, Barbas H. Sequence of information processing for emotions based on the anatomic dialogue between prefrontal cortex and amygdala. *Neuroimage*. 2007; 34(3):905–923. [PubMed: 17126037]
- Gitelman DR, Penny WD, Ashburner J, Friston KJ. Modeling regional and psychophysiological interactions in fMRI: the importance of hemodynamic deconvolution. *Neuroimage*. 2003; 19:200–207. [PubMed: 12781739]
- Glover GH, Law CS. Spiral-in/out BOLD fMRI for increased SNR and reduced susceptibility artifacts. *Magn Reson Med*. 2001; 46(3):515–522. [PubMed: 11550244]
- Ha TM, Cho DM, Park SW, Joo MJ, Lee BJ, Kong BG, Kim JM, Yoon JS, Kim YH. Evaluating associations between 5-HTTLPR polymorphism and Alzheimer's disease for Korean patients. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*. 2005; 20:31–34. [PubMed: 15832033]
- Hankin BL, Abramson LY, Moffitt TE, Silva PA, McGee R, Angell KE. Development of depression from preadolescence to young adulthood: emerging gender differences in a 10-year longitudinal study. *Journal of abnormal psychology*. 1998; 107(1):128–140. [PubMed: 9505045]
- Hariri AR, Holmes A. Genetics of emotional regulation: the role of the serotonin transporter in neural function. *Trends Cogn Sci*. 2006; 10:182–191. [PubMed: 16530463]
- Hariri AR, Drabant EM, Munoz KE, Kolachana BS, Mattay VS, Egan MF, Weinberger DR. A susceptibility gene for affective disorders and the response of the human amygdala. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2005; 62:146–152. [PubMed: 15699291]
- Hariri AR, Mattay VS, Tessitore A, Kolachana B, Fera F, Goldman D, Egan MF, Weinberger DR. Serotonin transporter genetic variation and the response of the human amygdala. *Science*. 2002; 297:400–403. [PubMed: 12130784]
- Hu XZ, Lipsky RH, Zhu G, Akhtar LA, Taubman J, Greenberg BD, Xu K, Arnold PD, Richter MA, Kennedy JL, Murphy DL, Goldman D. Serotonin transporter promoter gain-of-function genotypes are linked to obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2006; 78:815–826. [PubMed: 16642437]
- Irwin J, McClellan G. Misleading heuristics and moderated multiple regression models. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 2001; 38:100–109.
- Karg K, Burmeister M, Shedden K, Sen S. The Serotonin Transporter Promoter Variant (5-HTTLPR), Stress, and Depression Meta-analysis Revisited: Evidence of Genetic Moderation. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2011
- Kato M, Ikenaga Y, Wakeno M, Okugawa G, Nobuhara K, Fukuda T, Fukuda K, Azuma J, Kinoshita T. Controlled clinical comparison of paroxetine and fluvoxamine considering the serotonin transporter promoter polymorphism. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2005; 20:151–156. [PubMed: 15812265]
- Kim JM, Stewart R, Kim SW, Yang SJ, Shin IS, Kim YH, Yoon JS. Interactions between life stressors and susceptibility genes (5-HTTLPR and BDNF) on depression in Korean elders. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2007; 62:423–428. [PubMed: 17482146]
- Kovacs, M. *Children's Depression Inventory (CDI) Manual*. North Tonawanda, NY: Multi-Health Systems; 1992.
- Lau JY, Goldman D, Buzas B, Fromm SJ, Guyer AE, Hodgkinson C, Monk CS, Nelson EE, Shen PH, Pine DS, Ernst M. Amygdala function and 5-HTT gene variants in adolescent anxiety and major depressive disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2009; 65:349–355. [PubMed: 18950748]
- Lauder JM. Ontogeny of the serotonergic system in the rat: serotonin as a developmental signal. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 1990; 600:297–313. discussion 314. [PubMed: 2252317]
- Lauder JM, Krebs H. Serotonin as a differentiation signal in early neurogenesis. *Dev Neurosci*. 1978; 1:15–30. [PubMed: 158519]

- Lesch KP, Bengel D, Heils A, Sabol SZ, Greenberg BD, Petri S, Benjamin J, Muller CR, Hamer DH, Murphy DL. Association of anxiety-related traits with a polymorphism in the serotonin transporter gene regulatory region. *Science*. 1996; 274:1527–1531. [PubMed: 8929413]
- Lisboa SF, Oliveira PE, Costa LC, Venancio EJ, Moreira EG. Behavioral evaluation of male and female mice pups exposed to fluoxetine during pregnancy and lactation. *Pharmacology*. 2007; 80:49–56. [PubMed: 17519559]
- Maldjian J, Laurienti P, Burdette J, Kraft R. An Automated Method for Neuroanatomic and Cytoarchitectonic Atlas-based Interrogation of fMRI Data Sets. *NeuroImage*. 2002; 19:1233–1239. [PubMed: 12880848]
- March JS, Parker JD, Sullivan K, Stallings P, Conners CK. The Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Children (MASC): factor structure, reliability, and validity. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1997; 36:554–565. [PubMed: 9100431]
- Meyer-Lindenberg A. Neural connectivity as an intermediate phenotype: brain networks under genetic control. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2009; 30(7):1938–1946. [PubMed: 19294651]
- Monk CS, Weng SJ, Wiggins JL, Kurapati N, Louro HM, Carrasco M, Maslowsky J, Risi S, Lord C. Neural circuitry of emotional face processing in autism spectrum disorders. *J Psychiatry Neurosci*. 2010; 35:105–114. [PubMed: 20184808]
- Monk CS, Klein RG, Telzer EH, Schroth EA, S M, Moulton JLI, Guardino M, Masten CL, McClure EB, Fromm S, Blair RJR, Pine DS, Ernst M. Amygdala and nucleus accumbens activation to emotional facial expressions in children and adolescents at risk for major depression. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2008; 165:90–98. [PubMed: 17986682]
- Munafo MR, Brown SM, Hariri AR. Serotonin transporter (5-HTTLPR) genotype and amygdala activation: a meta-analysis. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2008; 63:852–857. [PubMed: 17949693]
- Murray EA, Wise SP, Drevets WC. Localization of dysfunction in major depressive disorder: prefrontal cortex and amygdala. *Biological psychiatry*. 2011; 69:e43–e54. [PubMed: 21111403]
- Murthy NV, Selvaraj S, Cowen PJ, Bhagwagar Z, Riedel WJ, Peers P, Kennedy JL, Sahakian BJ, Laruelle MA, Rabiner EA. and others. Serotonin transporter polymorphisms (SLC6A4 insertion/deletion and rs25531) do not affect the availability of 5-HTT to [11C] DASB binding in the living human brain. *Neuroimage*. 2010; 52(1):50–54. [PubMed: 20406689]
- Pacheco J, Beevers CG, Benavides C, McGeary J, Stice E, Schnyer DM. Frontal-limbic white matter pathway associations with the serotonin transporter gene promoter region (5-HTTLPR) polymorphism. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 2009; 29(19):6229–6233. [PubMed: 19439600]
- Parsey RV, Hastings RS, Oquendo MA, Hu X, Goldman D, Huang YY, Simpson N, Arcement J, Huang Y, Ogden RT, Van Heertum RL, Arango V, Mann JJ. Effect of a triallelic functional polymorphism of the serotonin-transporter-linked promoter region on expression of serotonin transporter in the human brain. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2006; 163:48–51. [PubMed: 16390888]
- Pezawas L, Meyer-Lindenberg A, Drabant EM, Verchinski BA, Munoz KE, Kolachana BS, Egan MF, Mattay VS, Hariri AR, Weinberger DR. 5-HTTLPR polymorphism impacts human cingulate-amygdala interactions: a genetic susceptibility mechanism for depression. *Nat Neurosci*. 2005; 8:828–834. [PubMed: 15880108]
- Praschak-Rieder N, Kennedy J, Wilson AA, Hussey D, Boovariwala A, Willeit M, Ginovart N, Tharmalingam S, Masellis M, Houle S, Meyer JH. Novel 5-HTTLPR allele associates with higher serotonin transporter binding in putamen: a [(11)C] DASB positron emission tomography study. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2007; 62:327–331. [PubMed: 17210141]
- Pritchard JK, Rosenberg NA. Use of unlinked genetic markers to detect population stratification in association studies. *American journal of human genetics*. 1999; 65:220–228. [PubMed: 10364535]
- Quirk GJ, Likhtik E, Pelletier JG, Pare D. Stimulation of medial prefrontal cortex decreases the responsiveness of central amygdala output neurons. *J Neurosci*. 2003; 23:8800–8807. [PubMed: 14507980]
- Raven, JC. *Guide to using the Standard Progressive Matrices*. London, UK: Lewis; 1960.
- Risch N, Herrell R, Lehner T, Liang KY, Eaves L, Hoh J, Griem A, Kovacs M, Ott J, Merikangas KR. Interaction between the serotonin transporter gene (5-HTTLPR), stressful life events, and risk of depression: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2009; 301:2462–2471. [PubMed: 19531786]


- Roiser JP, de Martino B, Tan GC, Kumaran D, Seymour B, Wood NW, Dolan RJ. A genetically mediated bias in decision making driven by failure of amygdala control. *J Neurosci*. 2009; 29:5985–5991. [PubMed: 19420264]
- Rubia K, Overmeyer S, Taylor E, Brammer M, Williams SC, Simmons A, Bullmore ET. Hypofrontality in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder during higher-order motor control: a study with functional MRI. *The American journal of psychiatry*. 1999; 156:891–896. [PubMed: 10360128]
- Rutter, M.; Bailey, A.; Berument, S.; Le Couteur, A.; Lord, C.; Pickles, A. *Social Communication Questionnaire (SCQ)*. Los Angeles, Calif: Western Psychological Services; 2003.
- Sheline YI, Barch DM, Donnelly JM, Ollinger JM, Snyder AZ, Mintun MA. Increased amygdala response to masked emotional faces in depressed subjects resolves with antidepressant treatment: an fMRI study. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2001; 50(9):651–658. [PubMed: 11704071]
- Somerville LH, Jones RM, Casey BJ. A time of change: behavioral and neural correlates of adolescent sensitivity to appetitive and aversive environmental cues. *Brain and cognition*. 2010; 72:124–133. [PubMed: 19695759]
- Spear LP. The adolescent brain and age-related behavioral manifestations. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2000; 24:417–463. [PubMed: 10817843]
- Spence SH. Structure of anxiety symptoms among children: a confirmatory factor-analytic study. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 1997; 106:280–297. [PubMed: 9131848]
- Steinberg L. Cognitive and affective development in adolescence. *Trends Cogn Sci*. 2005; 9(2):69–74. [PubMed: 15668099]
- Surguladze S, Brammer MJ, Keedwell P, Giampietro V, Young AW, Travis MJ, Williams SC, Phillips ML. A differential pattern of neural response toward sad versus happy facial expressions in major depressive disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2005; 57:201–209. [PubMed: 15691520]
- Thomason ME, Henry ML, Paul Hamilton J, Joormann J, Pine DS, Ernst M, Goldman D, Mogg K, Bradley BP, Britton JC, Lindstrom KM, Monk CS, Sankin LS, Louro HM, Gotlib IH. Neural and behavioral responses to threatening emotion faces in children as a function of the short allele of the serotonin transporter gene. *Biological psychology*. 2010; 85:38–44. [PubMed: 20493234]
- Tottenham N, Tanaka JW, Leon AC, McCarry T, Nurse M, Hare TA, Marcus DJ, Westerlund A, Casey BJ, Nelson C. The NimStim set of facial expressions: judgments from untrained research participants. *Psychiatry Res*. 2009; 168:242–249. [PubMed: 19564050]
- Weng SJ, Wiggins JL, Peltier SJ, Carrasco M, Risi S, Lord C, Monk CS. Alterations of resting state functional connectivity in the default network in adolescents with autism spectrum disorders. *Brain Res*. 2010; 1313:202–214. [PubMed: 20004180]
- Weng SJ, Carrasco M, Swartz JR, Wiggins JL, Kurapati N, Liberzon I, Risi S, Lord C, Monk CS. Neural activation to emotional faces in adolescents with autism spectrum disorders. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2011; 52:296–305. [PubMed: 21039484]
- Wiggins JL, Peltier SJ, Ashinoff S, Weng SJ, Carrasco M, Welsh RC, Lord C, Monk CS. Using a self-organizing map algorithm to detect age-related changes in functional connectivity during rest in autism spectrum disorders. *Brain Res*. 2011; 1380:187–197. [PubMed: 21047495]
- Wiggins JL, Bedoyan JK, Peltier SJ, Ashinoff S, Carrasco M, Weng SJ, Welsh RC, Martin DM, Monk CS. The impact of serotonin transporter (5-HTTLPR) genotype on the development of resting-state functional connectivity in children and adolescents: A preliminary report. *Neuroimage*. 2012; 59(3):2760–2770. [PubMed: 22032950]
- Worsley KJ, Marrett S, Neelin P, Vandal AC, Friston KJ, Evans AC. A unified statistical approach for determining significant signals in images of cerebral activation. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 1996; 4:58–73. [PubMed: 20408186]



**Figure 1. Greater amygdala activation with age in the low expressing genotype group**  
 For the contrast of all faces (happy, sad, fearful, and neutral) versus baseline (blank screen), there was a significant genotype-by-age interaction in the right amygdala ( $xyz = 22, -8, -16$ , cluster size = 88 voxels,  $t_{44} = 3.38$ ,  $p = 0.012$ , corrected for multiple comparisons within the right amygdala), depicted in the coronal section of the brain (upper). For this and the subsequent brain image, the threshold was set at  $p < 0.01$  and  $k > 100$  contiguous voxels for illustration purposes. Crosshairs are set at the peak voxel ( $xyz = 22, -8, -16$ ). To depict activation levels in each individual, values from a 4 mm sphere around the peak voxel ( $xyz$

= 22, -8, -16) were extracted and plotted (lower). Contrast values for all faces versus baseline are on the Y axis. The scatterplot shows the relationship between age and amygdala activation to all faces versus baseline contrast in the low and higher expressing genotype groups.





**Figure 2. Decreased amygdala to ventromedial prefrontal cortex connectivity with age in the low expressing genotype group**

There was a significant genotype-by-age interaction predicting connectivity between the right amygdala and left ventromedial prefrontal cortex ( $xyz = -8, 40, -14$ , cluster size = 159 voxels,  $t_{44} = 3.12$ ,  $p = 0.036$ , corrected for multiple comparisons within the left ventromedial prefrontal cortex), depicted in the sagittal plane (upper). Crosshairs are set at the peak voxel ( $xyz = -8, 40, -14$ ). To depict connectivity strength in each individual, PPI parameter estimates from a 4 mm sphere around the peak voxel ( $xyz = -8, 40, -14$ ) were extracted and plotted (lower). Unstandardized parameter estimates for the

psychophysiological interaction are on the Y axis. The scatterplot demonstrates the relationship between age and amygdala-prefrontal connectivity to all faces versus baseline in the low and higher expressing genotype groups.

**Table 1**

Subject Characteristics.

	Low Expressing Genotypes			Higher Expressing Genotypes			$\chi^2$ df=1	p
	S/S	S/L <sub>G</sub>	L <sub>G</sub> /L <sub>G</sub>	L <sub>A</sub> /L <sub>A</sub>	S/L <sub>A</sub>	L <sub>A</sub> /L <sub>G</sub>		
Number of participants	15	2	0	16	15	0		
<i>Race/Ethnicity</i>								
Asian	2	0	0	0	0	0		
African American	1	0	0	1	1	0		
Hispanic/Latino	1	0	0	1	0	0		
Caucasian	11	2	0	14	14	0		
Gender (M:F)	13:4			25:6			0.115	0.735
Handedness (R:L)	15:2			28:1			1.17	0.280
<i>t<sub>46</sub></i>								
Age, mean (SD)	15.2 (1.94)			14.4 (3.15)			0.993	0.326
PPVT	116 (12.6)			114 (12.8)			0.567	0.574
Ravens SPM	106 (10.6)			104 (12.5)			0.584	0.562
SCAS	15.6 (8.32)			14.9 (8.55)			0.268	0.790
MASC	33.0 (10.6)			29.2 (14.6)			0.920	0.363
OCI-R	11.5 (8.88)			9.55 (9.14)			0.704	0.485
CDI	5.53 (3.94)			4.84 (5.76)			0.440	0.662
CBCL-Total	46.2 (8.63)			43.3 (7.64)			1.21	0.234
CBCL-Internal	47.4 (9.71)			45.8 (8.20)			0.585	0.561
CBCL-External	47.3 (6.56)			42.7 (8.01)			2.02	0.050
SCQ	3.12 (2.55)			2.94 (3.33)			0.196	0.845
SRS	44.5 (8.17)			42.3 (6.73)			1.04	0.455
Task Accuracy	96.9% (3.21)			97.5% (1.97)			.825	.414
Task RT (ms)	673 (119)			763 (135)			2.27	.028

Note: 2 individuals were missing handedness data. One person was missing reaction time (RT) data due to computer failure. Means and standard deviations (in parentheses) reported for age, cognitive functioning, and symptom measures. PPVT = Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test; Ravens SPM = Ravens Standard Progressive Matrices; SCAS = Spence Children's Anxiety Scale; MASC = Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Children; OCI-R = Obsessive Compulsive Inventory - Revised; CDI = Children's Depression Inventory; CBCL = Child Behavior Checklist; CBCL-Internal = Internalizing subscale; CBCL-External = Externalizing subscale; SCQ = Social Communication Questionnaire - Lifetime; SRS = Social Responsiveness Scale; Task Accuracy = accuracy of identifying gender in fMRI task; Task RT = reaction time to identify gender in fMRI task. Likelihood Ratio utilized for chi-square tests.